

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Crl.Revision No.1028 of 2006

DATE OF DECISION: SEPTEMBER 25, 2006

Sham Lata @ Sunita and others

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

State of Haryana and another

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SATISH KUMAR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Munish Garg, Advocate,
for Mr.N.K.Sanghi, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

...

Counsel for the petitioners informs that since the main case has been decided by the trial Court, therefore, this revision petition challenging the summoning order passed under Section 319 Cr.P.C. has become infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

September 25, 2006
vkg

(SATISH KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE